

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS **163**, 406–421 (1992)

Generalized KKM Theorem on H -Space with Applications*

SHIH-SEN CHANG

*Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University,
Chengdu 610064, Sichuan, People's Republic of China*

AND

YI-HAI MA

*Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Teacher's College,
Xuzhou 221009, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China*

Submitted by John Horvath

Received May 24, 1990

In this paper KKM theorem, Ky Fan's matching theorems, minimax inequalities, and coincidence theorems are further generalized, so as to unify and strengthen the corresponding results in recent works. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The famous Knaster–Kuratowski–Mazurkiewicz Theorem [10] (KKM theorem, for short) was first generalized to the infinite dimensional cases by Ky Fan [6], and since then this theorem has become an importantly theoretical foundation of the KKM technique in dealing with nonlinear problems. Recently, Horvath [9] generalized the KKM theorem by replacing convexity assumptions with the merely topological property, i.e., contractibility. In [4] the author introduced the concept of generalized KKM mapping and obtained a generalization of F-KKM theorem in Hausdorff topological vector space.

The purpose of this paper is to establish a generalized KKM theorem in Horvath's abstract setting, so as to unify and strengthen the corresponding results mentioned above and to extend by Fan's matching theorems. As

* This paper was done while the authors were visiting the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica.

applications of these results we establish a general version of minimax inequalities and obtain some coincidence theorems with succinct summary.

DEFINITION 1 [1]. An H -space is a pair $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, where X is a topological space, and $\{\Gamma_A\}$ is a given family of nonempty contractible subsets of X , indexed by the finite subsets of X such that $A \subset A'$ implies $\Gamma_A \subset \Gamma_{A'}$.

Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space. A subset $D \subset X$ is called H -convex (or weakly H -convex) relative to subset $C \subset X$, if for each finite subset $A \subset C$, it follows $\Gamma_A \subset D$ (or $\Gamma_A \cap D$ is nonempty and contractible). When $C = D$, then D is called H -convex (or weakly H -convex) briefly.

A subset $K \subset X$ is called H -compact, if for every finite subset $A \subset X$, there exists a compact weakly H -convex set $D \subset X$ such that $K \cup A \subset D$.

A subset $M \subset X$ is called compactly closed (compactly open), if M is closed (open) relative to every compact subset of X .

Remark 1. [1]. Hausdorff topological vector space, convex space [14], contractible space, and pseudo-convex space [8] are all the special cases of H -space.

LEMMA 1 [9]. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be any n points of X (not necessarily distinct). Then

(1) For a standard $(n-1)$ -simplex $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$, there exists a continuous mapping $f: e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \rightarrow X$, such that

$$f(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}) \subset \Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}},$$

where $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ is any nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

(2) Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n be n compactly closed subsets of X . If for any $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that

$$\Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k M_{i_j},$$

then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i \neq \emptyset$.

LEMMA 2. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space and let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n be n compactly closed subsets of X such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n M_i = X.$$

Then for any n points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n (not necessarily distinct) of X , there exists a subset $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k M_{i_j} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Consider an $(n-1)$ -dimensional standard simplex $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ of an Euclidian space R^n and the continuous mapping $f: e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \rightarrow X$ as shown in Lemma 1. For any given $u \in e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$, letting

$$I(u) = \{i: f(u) \in M_i\}, \quad S(u) = \text{co}\{e_i: i \in I(u)\},$$

it is obvious that $I(u) \neq \emptyset$, and so $S(u) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\bigcup_{i \in I(u)} M_i$ is compactly closed,

$$U = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \setminus f^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i \notin I(u)} M_i \right)$$

is an open neighborhood of u in $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$. If $u' \in U$, then $I(u') \subset I(u)$, and so $S(u') \subset S(u)$. Consequently, $S: e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \rightarrow e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ is an upper semi-continuous mapping with nonempty compact convex values. Therefore by the Kakutani fixed point theorem there exists a point $u_0 \in e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ such that

$$u_0 \in S(u_0) = \text{co}\{e_i: i \in I(u_0)\}.$$

If we take $x_0 = f(u_0)$, then $x_0 \in \Gamma_{\{x_i: i \in I(u_0)\}}$ and $x_0 \in M_i, \forall i \in I(u_0)$. Hence we have

$$\Gamma_{\{x_i: i \in I(u_0)\}} \cap \bigcap_{i \in I(u_0)} M_i \neq \emptyset.$$

This completes the proof.

2. GENERALIZED KKM THEOREM

DEFINITION 2. Let X be a nonempty set, $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ an H -space, and $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ a set-valued mapping. If for any finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$, correspondently, there exists a finite set $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$),

$$\Gamma_{\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k F(x_{i_j}),$$

then F is called a generalized KKM mapping.

Remark. Definition 2 extends the same concept of generalized KKM mapping in [4] to the case of H -space, and it contains H-KKM mapping [1] as its special case.

The following theorem not only shows the basic properties of this kind of mapping but also gives some generalizations of F-KKM theorem [6], Kim [11, Theorem 2], and Horvath [9, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1].

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a nonempty subset, $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ an H -space, and $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ a generalized KKM mapping satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (i) *For each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is compactly closed in Y ;*
- (ii) *For each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is compactly open in Y .*

Then the family of $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ of sets has the finite intersection property. Moreover, if we add the following condition to condition (i),

there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that $F(x_0)$ is a compact set,

then $\bigcap_{x \in X} F(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) For any finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$, since $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a generalized KKM mapping, there exists a finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) we have

$$F_{\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k F(x_{i_j}).$$

Then by Lemma 1, we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \neq \emptyset$. This means that $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property.

Moreover, if $F(x_0)$ is a compact subset, then $\{F(x) \cap F(x_0): x \in X\}$ is a family of compact sets with the finite intersection property. By the property of compact set it follows that

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} (F(x) \cap F(x_0)) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \bigcap_{x \in X} F(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

(ii) If $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ has no finite intersection property, then there exists a finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = \emptyset.$$

Let $G(x) = Y \setminus F(x)$, then $G(x_1), \dots, G(x_n)$ are compactly closed subsets in Y , and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i) = Y \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = Y.$$

By the generalized KKM property of F , for the $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ there exists $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$ such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) we have

$$\Gamma_{\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k F(x_{i_j}) = Y \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^k G(x_{i_j}).$$

By Lemma 2, for $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset Y$, there exists $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_m}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ such that

$$\Gamma_{\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_m}\}} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^m G(x_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

It should be pointed out that only under condition (i) or (ii) it can not ensure that the family $\{F(x): x \in X\}$ of sets has nonempty intersection. This can be seen from the following

EXAMPLE. Let $X = Y = R$ (the real line), $\Gamma_A = \text{co } A$, $F_1(x) = \{y \in R: y \geq |x|\}$, $F_2(x) = \{y \in R: y > |x|\}$. It is easy to verify that $F_1, F_2: R \rightarrow 2^R$ both are generalized KKM mappings and F_1 is closed-valued and F_2 is open-valued, but $\bigcap_{x \in R} F_i(x) = \emptyset$ ($i = 1, 2$).

In the sequel, let $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ be the set of all continuous functions from X into Y . The following theorems are all the useful consequences of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let D be a nonempty subset of a compact H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ and Y a topological space. Suppose that $G: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For every $x \in D$, $G(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;
- (ii) $G(D) = Y$.

Then for any $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ there exists a nonempty finite set $\{x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0\} \subset D$ such that for any $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ there exist some subset $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and an $x_0 \in \Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}}$ satisfying the condition

$$s(x_0) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k G(x_{i_j}^0).$$

Proof. Let $F(x) = s^{-1}(Y \setminus G(x))$. Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then for any finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset D$, there exists $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} \subset X$ such

that for any subset $\{\eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_k}\} \subset \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) the following holds:

$$s(\Gamma_{\{\eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_k}\}}) \subset Y \bigcap_{j=1}^k G(x_{i_j}),$$

i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{\{\eta_{i_1}, \dots, \eta_{i_k}\}} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k F(x_{i_j}).$$

This implies that $F: D \rightarrow 2^X$ is a generalized KKM mapping with compact values. By Theorem 1 we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in D} F(x) = \bigcap_{x \in D} s^{-1}(Y \setminus G(x)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore $\bigcap_{x \in D} (Y \setminus G(x)) = Y \setminus G(D) \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts condition (ii).

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Let D be a nonempty subset of an H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space and $G: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) *For every $x \in D$, $G(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;*

(ii) *$G(D) = Y$;*

(iii) *There exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that $Y \setminus G(L \cap D) \subset K$.*

Then for any $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ there exist a nonempty finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset D$ and an $x_0 \in \Gamma_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}$ such that $s(x_0) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$.

Proof. Since $Y \setminus G(L \cap D)$ is a closed subset of the compact set K ,

$$Y \setminus G(L \cap D) = G(D) \setminus G(L \cap D) = \bigcup_{x \in D \setminus L} G(x).$$

Hence there exists a finite subset $\tilde{A} \subset D \setminus L$ such that

$$Y \setminus G(L \cap D) \subset \bigcup_{x \in \tilde{A}} G(x).$$

Let $D_1 = (L \cap D) \cup \tilde{A}$, then $G(D_1) = Y$. It follows from the H -compactness of L that there exists a compact weakly H -convex set $X_1 \supset D_1$. Hence for the compact H -space $(X_1, \{\Gamma_{A \cap X_1} \cap X_1\})$ and the mapping $G: D_1 \rightarrow 2^Y$ using Theorem 2, the conclusion of Corollary 1 can be obtained immediately.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 extend the matching theorem for open covering of [15] to the case of H -space.

THEOREM 3. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, D a nonempty subset of X , and Y a topological space. Suppose that $F: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) for each weakly H -convex subset $X' \subset X$, if $D \cap X' \neq \emptyset$, then the mapping defined by

$$\tilde{F}: D \cap X' \rightarrow 2^{X'}, \quad x \mapsto s^{-1}F(x) \cap X'$$

is a generalized KKM mapping on H -space $(X', \{\Gamma_{A \cap X'} \cap X'\})$;

(ii) for every $x \in D$, $F(x)$ is compactly closed in Y ;

(iii) there exists an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that for every weakly H -convex set X_0 with $L \subset X_0 \subset X$ the following holds:

$$\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} (F(x) \cap s(X_0)) \subset K.$$

Then $\bigcap_{x \in D} F(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\bigcap_{x \in D} (F(x) \cap K) \neq \emptyset$. By condition (ii), $F(x) \cap K$ is closed in the compact set K . Hence it suffices to prove that for any finite set $A \subset D$, $\bigcap_{x \in A} (F(x) \cap K) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $A \subset D$ be any given finite set and $X_0 \supset L \cup A$ a compact weakly H -convex set. By condition (iii) we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} (F(x) \cap s(X_0)) \subset K.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{x \in A} (F(x) \cap K) &\supset \bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} (F(x) \cap K) \supset \bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} (F(x) \cap s(X_0)) \\ &\supset s \left(\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} (s^{-1}F(x) \cap X_0) \right) = s \left(\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} \tilde{F}(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore in order to prove the desired conclusion, it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} \tilde{F}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

By condition (i), $\tilde{F}: D \cap X_0 \rightarrow 2^{X_0}$ is a generalized KKM mapping. It follows from condition (ii) and the compactness of $s(X_0)$ that for any $x \in D \cap X_0$, $\tilde{F}(x)$ is compact. By virtue of Theorem 1, we have $\bigcap_{x \in D \cap X_0} \tilde{F}(x) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof.

Remark 3. We would like to point out that if $F: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ is an H -KKM mapping, then it must satisfy the condition (i) of Theorem 3. (In fact, $\tilde{F}: D \cap X' \rightarrow 2^{X'}$ is also an H -KKM mapping on H -space $(X', \{\Gamma_{A \cap X'} \cap X'\})$.) Hence Theorem 3 generalizes [1, Theorem 1] and Park [15, Theorem 3] and it even more contains Lassonde [14, Theorem I] and Fan [7, Theorem 4] as its special cases.

Theorem 3 can be restated as follows:

COROLLARY 2. *Let D be a nonempty subset of an H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space, $M \subset X \times Y$, $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *for each weakly H -convex set $X' \subset X$, if $D \cap X' \neq \emptyset$, then for any finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset D \cap X'$, there exists $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset X'$ such that for any subset $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $x \in \Gamma_{\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\}} \cap X'$,*

$$(x_{i_j}, s(x)) \in M \quad \text{for some } j \ (1 \leq j \leq k);$$

(ii) *for each $x \in D$, the set $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in M\}$ is compactly closed in Y .*

Then for any H -compact set $L \subset X$, either there exists an $y_1 \in Y$ such that

$$(x, y_1) \in M, \quad \text{for each } x \in D;$$

or for any compact set $K \subset Y$, there exist a weakly H -convex set $X_0 \supset L$ and an $x_0 \in X_0$ such that $y_2 = s(x_0) \in Y \setminus K$ and

$$(x, y_2) \in M \quad \text{for each } x \in D \cap X_0.$$

Proof. Letting $F(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in M\}$, then $F: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfies conditions (i), and (ii) of Theorem 2, therefore either the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds or the condition (iii) of Theorem 2 does not hold. This completes the proof.

By virtue of Theorem 1(ii) we are now in a position to give the following theorem whose corollary generalizes the matching theorem for closed covering of [15].

THEOREM 4. *Let D be a nonempty subset of a H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space, and $F: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(i) *for each $x \in D$, $F(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;*

(ii) *$\tilde{F}: x \in D \mapsto s^{-1}F(x)$ is a generalized KKM mapping.*

Then the family $\{F(x) : x \in D\}$ of sets has the finite intersection property.

Proof. Since $\tilde{F}: D \rightarrow 2^X$ is a generalized KKM mapping with compactly open-valued, by Theorem 1, for any finite subset $A \subset D$ we have

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{x \in A} \tilde{F}(x) = s^{-1} \left(\bigcap_{x \in A} F(x) \right),$$

and so $\bigcap_{x \in A} F(x) \neq \emptyset$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, Y a topological space, and $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. Let C_1, \dots, C_n be n compactly closed subsets of Y satisfying*

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i = Y.$$

Then for any n points x_1, \dots, x_n of X (not necessarily distinct), there exists a subset $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that

$$s(\Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k C_{i_j} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion is false. Then there exists $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ such that for any nonempty subset $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ we have

$$s(\Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^k C_{i_j} = \emptyset.$$

Consequently,

$$s(\Gamma_{\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}}) \subset Y \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^k C_{i_j} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (Y \setminus C_{i_j}).$$

Letting $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $F(x_i) = Y \setminus C_i$, then $F: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 4. Hence we have

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i.$$

This contradicts $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i = Y$. The proof is complete.

3. MINIMAX INEQUALITIES AND COINCIDENCE THEOREMS

As applications, in this section we shall use the results presented in Section 2 to establish an abstract version of Ky Fan's minimax inequality.

THEOREM 5. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, Y a topological space, (E, C) a topological Riesz space, where C is a closed cone with $\dot{C} \neq \emptyset$ (\dot{C} denotes the interior of C). Let $\alpha, \beta \in E$ be two given points and $f, g: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) For every $y \in Y$, the set $\{x \in X: f(x, y) \in \alpha + \dot{C}\}$ is H -convex relative to the set $\{x \in X: g(x, y) \in \beta + \dot{C}\}$;

(ii) For each $x \in X$, the set $\{y \in Y: g(x, y) \in \beta + \dot{C}\}$ is compactly open;

(iii) There exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that

$$M = \{y \in Y: g(x, y) \notin \beta + \dot{C}, \forall x \in L\} \subset K.$$

Then one of the following conclusions holds:

(1) There exists an $\bar{y} \in M$ such that

$$g(x, \bar{y}) \notin \beta + \dot{C}, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

(2) For any $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$f(\bar{x}, s(\bar{x})) \in \alpha + \dot{C}.$$

Proof. For $x \in X$ let

$$U(x) = \{y \in Y: g(x, y) \in \beta + \dot{C}\},$$

$$V(x) = \{y \in Y: f(x, y) \in \alpha + \dot{C}\}.$$

Suppose that the conclusion (1) is false. Then for any $y \in M$, there exists an $x \in X$ such that

$$g(x, y) \in \beta + \dot{C}, \quad \text{i.e., } y \in U(x),$$

and so $M \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} U(x)$. However, since

$$M = \bigcap_{x \in L} \{y \in Y: g(x, y) \notin \beta + \dot{C}\} = \bigcap_{x \in L} (Y \setminus U(x)) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{x \in L} U(x),$$

this implies that $Y \setminus \bigcup_{x \in L} U(x) \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} U(x)$. Hence we have $\bigcup_{x \in X} U(x) = Y$. Consequently

(1°) by condition (ii), for each $x \in X$, $U(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;

(2°) $U(X) = Y$;

(3°) by condition (iii), there exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that

$$Y \setminus U(L) = M \subset K.$$

By virtue of Corollary 1, for any $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ there exist a nonempty finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ and an $\bar{x} \in \Gamma_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}$ such that

$$s(\bar{x}) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U(x_i).$$

Hence we have

$$x_i \in U^{-1}(s(\bar{x})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset U^{-1}(s(\bar{x})).$$

Moreover by condition (i) we have

$$\bar{x} \in \Gamma_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \subset V^{-1}(s(\bar{x})).$$

Hence $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$, i.e., $f(\bar{x}, s(\bar{x})) \in \alpha + \hat{C}$. Therefore the conclusion (2) is true.

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. *Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, Y a topological space, E a Riesz space $\alpha, \beta \in E$ two given elements, and $f, g: X \times Y \rightarrow E$. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *for any $y \in Y$, the set $\{x \in X: f(x, y) \not\leq \alpha\}$ is H -convex relative the set $\{x \in X: g(x, y) \not\leq \beta\}$;*
- (ii) *for each $x \in X$, the set $\{y \in Y: g(x, y) \leq \beta\}$ is compactly closed;*
- (iii) *there exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that*

$$M = \{y \in Y: g(x, y) \leq \beta, \forall x \in L\} \subset K,$$

then one of the following conclusions holds:

- (1) *there exists an $\bar{y} \in M$ such that*

$$g(x, \bar{y}) \leq \beta, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

- (2) *for any $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that*

$$f(\bar{x}, s(\bar{x})) \not\leq \alpha.$$

In addition, if the following condition is satisfied:

- (iv) *E is order complete and there exists some $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ such that*

$$\alpha = \beta = \sup_{x \in X} f(x, s(x)),$$

if the infimum of the mapping $y \mapsto \sup_{x \in X} g(x, y)$ exists on M , then we have

$$\inf_{y \in M} \sup_{x \in X} g(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, s(x)).$$

Proof. For any $x \in X$ let

$$U(x) = \{y \in Y : g(x, y) \leq \beta\};$$

$$V(x) = \{y \in Y : f(x, y) \leq \alpha\}.$$

Suppose that the conclusion (1) is false. Then imitating the proof of Theorem 5 we can prove that the conclusion (2) holds.

Moreover, if condition (iv) is satisfied, then it is obvious that the conclusion (2) does not hold. Therefore there exists an $\bar{y} \in M$ such that

$$g(x, \bar{y}) \leq \beta = \sup_{x \in X} f(x, s(x)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Since E is order complete, it follows from the preceding inequality that $\sup_{x \in X} g(x, \bar{y})$ exists and

$$\sup_{x \in X} g(x, \bar{y}) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, s(x)).$$

If $\inf_{y \in M} \sup_{x \in X} g(x, y)$ exists, then it is obvious that it $\leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, s(x))$.

This completes the proof.

Remark 4. Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 contain [1, Theorem 3], [1, Theorem 4 and Corollary 1], respectively; Yen [19, Theorem 1] and Shih and Tan [17, Theorem 3] are even more special cases of Theorem 6. Moreover, in Bardaro and Ceppitelli [2, Theorem 2] if we take

$$f_1(x, y) = g_1(x, y) = f(x, y) - \varphi(x) + \varphi(y): X \times X \rightarrow E$$

and

$$\alpha = \beta = 0, s = I_X,$$

then by [2, Propositions 1, 7, 8] it is easy to know that under the conditions of [2, Theorem 2], f_1, g_1 satisfy all conditions of Theorem 6. This shows that Theorem 6 is also a generalization of [2, Theorem 2].

In the sequel, we shall give some coincidence theorems.

THEOREM 7. Let D be a nonempty subset of an H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space. Let $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and $U, V: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) For each $x \in D$, $U(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;
- (ii) For each $x \in X$, $V^{-1}(s(x))$ is H -convex relative to $U^{-1}(s(x))$;
- (iii) There exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact set $K \subset Y$ such that

$$U^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall y \in \overline{s(X) \cap K},$$

and for each finite set $A \subset D$, when $x \in L_A \setminus s^{-1}(K)$ (where L_A is a compact weakly H -convex set with $L \cup A \subset L_A$) we have

$$U^{-1}(s(x)) \cap L_A \cap D \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there exists an $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$.

Proof. Since for each $y \in \overline{s(X) \cap K}$, $U^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$, this implies that $\overline{s(X) \cap K} \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} U(x)$. Since $\overline{s(X) \cap K}$ is compact, there exists a finite set $A_1 \subset D$ such that

$$\overline{s(X) \cap K} \subset \bigcup_{x \in A_1} U(x).$$

On the other hand, since L is an H -compact set, there exists a weakly H -convex set L_{A_1} such that $L_{A_1} \supset L \cup A_1$.

Now we prove that L_{A_1} has the property

$$L_{A_1} \subset s^{-1}U(L_{A_1} \cap D).$$

In fact, for any $x \in L_{A_1}$, if $x \in s^{-1}(K)$, and so $s(x) \in K$. Hence we have

$$s(x) \in U(A_1) \subset U(L_{A_1} \cap D), \quad \text{i.e., } x \in s^{-1}U(L_{A_1} \cap D).$$

If $x \notin s^{-1}(K)$, by condition (iii), we have $U^{-1}(s(x)) \cap L_{A_1} \cap D \neq \emptyset$, and so

$$s(x) \in U(L_{A_1} \cap D), \quad \text{i.e., } x \in s^{-1}U(L_{A_1} \cap D).$$

Summing up the proof as stated above we have $L_{A_1} \subset s^{-1}U(L_{A_1} \cap D)$.

Letting $\tilde{U}(x) = s^{-1}U(x) \cap L_{A_1}$, we know that the mapping $\tilde{U}: L_{A_1} \cap D \rightarrow 2^{L_{A_1}}$ on compact H -space $(L_{A_1}, \{\Gamma_A \cap L_{A_1} \cap L_{A_1}\})$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\tilde{U}(x)$ is an open set;
- (ii) $\tilde{U}(L_{A_1} \cap D) = L_{A_1}$.

By Theorem 2, there exist a nonempty finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset L_{A_1} \cap D$ and an $\bar{x} \in \Gamma_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \cap L_{A_1}$ such that

$$\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \tilde{U}(x_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n s^{-1}U(x_i).$$

This means that

$$s(\bar{x}) \in U(x_i) \quad \text{or} \quad x_i \in U^{-1}(s(\bar{x})), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By condition (ii) we have

$$\bar{x} \in \Gamma_{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \subset V^{-1}(s(\bar{x})),$$

and so $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4. *Let D be a nonempty subset of an H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space, and $U, V: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *For each $x \in D$, $U(x)$ is compactly open in Y ;*
- (ii) *For each $y \in Y$, $V^{-1}(y)$ is H -convex relative to $U^{-1}(y)$;*
- (iii) *$U(D) = Y$;*
- (iv) *There exist an H -compact set $L \subset X$ and a compact $K \subset Y$ such that for any finite subset $A \subset D$*

$$Y \setminus K \subset U(L_A \cap D),$$

where L_A is a compact weakly H -convex set containing $L \cup A$.

Then for each $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, there exists an $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$.

Proof. If $x \in L_A \setminus s^{-1}(K)$ then $s(x) \notin K$. By condition (iv), $s(x) \in U(L_A \cap D)$, and so $U^{-1}(s(x)) \cap L_A \cap D \neq \emptyset$. It is obvious that the rest of the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. This completes the proof.

Remark 5. As we have noted, Corollary 4 contains the generalized Fan–Browder fixed point theorem, i.e., [15, Theorem 6] as a special case. Therefore Theorem 7 unifies and strengthens Takahashi [18, Theorem 2.5], Lassonde [14, Theorem 1.1], Ben-El-Mechaiekh, Deguire, and Granas [3, Theorem 1], Simons [16, Theorem 4.3], Ko and Tan [13, Theorem 3.1], Park [15, Theorem 6], and others.

THEOREM 8. *Let D be a nonempty subset of an H -space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, Y a topological space, and $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, $U, V: D \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each $x \in D$, $U(x)$ is compactly closed in Y ;*
- (ii) *for each $x \in X$, $V^{-1}(s(x))$ is H -convex relative to $U^{-1}(s(x))$;*
- (iii) *there exists a finite set $A_1 \subset D$ such that $\bigcup_{x \in A_1} U(x) = Y$.*

Then there exists an $\bar{x} \in D$ such that $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$.

Proof. By conditions (i), (iii), and Corollary 3, there exists a subset $A \subset A_1$ such that

$$s(\Gamma_A) \cap \bigcap_{x \in A} U(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence there exists an $\bar{x} \in \Gamma_A$ such that $A \subset U^{-1}(s(\bar{x}))$. By condition (ii), $\bar{x} \in \Gamma_A \subset V^{-1}(s(\bar{x}))$, and so we have $s(\bar{x}) \in V(\bar{x})$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 5. *Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, Y a topological space. Let $s \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ and $P, Q: Y \rightarrow 2^Y$ satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each $x \in X$, $P^{-1}(x)$ is compactly closed in Y ;*
- (ii) *for each $x \in X$, $Q(s(x))$ is H -convex relative to $P(s(x))$;*
- (iii) *there exists a finite subset $A_1 \subset X$ such that $P^{-1}(A_1) = Y$.*

Then there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $\bar{x} \in Q(s(\bar{x}))$.

Proof. Let $U(x) = P^{-1}(x)$, and $V(x) = Q^{-1}(x)$. Then U and V satisfy all conditions of Theorem 8. Then the conclusion of Corollary 5 follows from Theorem 8 immediately.

Theorem 8 and Corollary 5 extend [15, Theorem 9] and its contrapositive form to H -space. Especially, Theorem 8 contains Kim [11, Theorem 3; 12, Theorem 4] as its special cases.

REFERENCES

1. C. BARDARO AND R. CEPPITELLI, Some further generalizations of Knaster–Kuratowski–Mazurkiewicz theorem and minimax inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **132** (1988), 484–490.
2. C. BARDARO AND R. CEPPITELLI, Applications of the generalized Knaster–Kuratowski–Mazurkiewicz theorem to variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **137** (1989), 46–58.
3. H. BEN-EL-MECHAIEKH, P. DEGUIRE, AND A. GRANAS, Une alternative non linéaire en analyse convexe et applications, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **295** (1982), 257–259.
4. SHIH-SEN CHANG AND YIN CHANG, Generalized KKM theorem and variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **159** (1991), 208–223.
5. J. DUGUNDJI AND A. GRANAS, KKM-maps and variational inequalities, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **5** (1978), 679–682.
6. K. FAN, A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem, *Math. Ann.* **142** (1961), 305–310.
7. K. FAN, Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **266** (1984), 519–537.
8. C. HORVATH, Points fixes et coïncidences pour les applications multivoques sans convexité, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **296** (1983), 403–406.
9. C. HORVATH, Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities without convexity, in "Nonlinear and Convex Analysis," Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 107, Dekker, New York, 1987.

10. B. KNASTER, K. KURATOWSKI, AND S. MAZURKIEWICZ, Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n -dimensionale Simplexe, *Fund. Math.* **14** (1929), 132–137.
11. W. K. KIM, Some applications of the Kakutani fixed point theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **121** (1987), 119–122.
12. W. K. KIM, Some intersection theorems of the KKM-maps, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **24** (1987), 139–144.
13. H.-M. KO AND K.-K. TAN, A coincidence theorem with applications to minimax inequalities and fixed point theorems, *Tamkang J. Math.* **17** (1986), 37–45.
14. M. LASSONDE, On the use of KKM multifunctions in fixed point theory and related topics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **97** (1983), 151–201.
15. S. PARK, Generalizations of Ky Fan's matching theorems and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **141** (1989), 164–176.
16. S. SIMONS, Two-function minimax theorems and variational inequalities for functions on compact and noncompact sets, with some comments on fixed point theorems, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **45**, Part 2 (1986), 377–392.
17. M.-H. SHIH AND K.-K. TAN, A geometric property of convex sets with applications to minimax type inequalities and fixed point theorems, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **45** (1988), 169–183.
18. W. TAKAHASHI, Fixed point, minimax and Hahn–Banach theorems, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **45**, Part 2 (1986), 419–427.
19. C.-L. YEN, A minimax inequality and its applications to variational inequalities, *Pacific J. Math.* **97** (1981), 477–481.